

**REDACTED COPY**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DEL RIO DIVISION**

**FILED**

**JUN 20 2013**

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BY ay DEPUTY CLERK

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**ALFREDO TAPIA III (5),  
ELI TORRES (14),**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Cause No: DR-11-CR-1780-AM**

**VERDICT**

**COUNT ONE**

As to Count One of the formal charging instrument against Alfredo Tapia III, conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine, we the jurors find the defendant, **ALFREDO TAPIA III, a.k.a. "Naco,"** Guilty.  
[Guilty or Not Guilty]

**Jury Interrogatory For Count One:**

- a) Do you unanimously agree by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the quantity of cocaine which was involved in the conspiracy was 500 grams or more? ✓ Yes.  
\_\_\_\_\_ No. If your answer to this question is "Yes," please continue to Count Two.
- b) If your answer to the above question is "No," please complete the following statement: We the jury unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the crime charged in Count One of the charging instrument against Alfredo Tapia III involved \_\_\_\_\_ grams of cocaine. Please continue to Count Two.

COUNT TWO

As to Count Two of the formal charging instrument against Alfredo Tapia III, conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute marijuana, we the jurors find the defendant, **ALFREDO TAPIA III, a.k.a. "Naco,"** Guilty.

[Guilty or Not Guilty]

Jury Interrogatory For Count Two:

- a) Do you unanimously agree by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the quantity of marijuana which was involved in the conspiracy was 100 kilograms or more?  
☒ Yes. ☐ No. If your answer to this question is "Yes," please continue to the next count.
- b) If your answer to the above question is "No," please complete the following statement: We the jury unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the crime charged in Count Two of the charging instrument against Alfredo Tapia III involved \_\_\_\_\_ kilograms of marijuana. Please continue to the next count.

COUNT ONE

As to Count One of the formal charging instrument against Eli Torres, conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine, we the jurors find the defendant, **ELI TORRES,**

Guilty.  
[Guilty or Not Guilty]

Jury Interrogatory For Count One:

- a) Do you unanimously agree by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the quantity of cocaine which was involved in the conspiracy was 500 grams or more?  
☒ Yes. ☐ No. If your answer to this question is "Yes," please continue to Count Two.
- b) If your answer to the above question is "No," please complete the following statement: We the jury unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the crime charged in Count One of the charging instrument against Eli Torres involved \_\_\_\_\_ grams of cocaine. Please continue to Count Two.

COUNT TWO

As to Count Two of the formal charging instrument against Eli Torres, possession with intent to distribute cocaine, we the jurors find the defendant, **ELI TORRES**, Guilty.  
[Guilty or Not Guilty]

Jury Interrogatory For Count Two:

- a) Do you unanimously agree by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that the quantity of cocaine involved in the possession with intent to distribute was 500 grams or more?  
☒ Yes. ☐ No. If your answer to this question is "Yes," please proceed to part (c).
- b) If your answer to the above question is "No," please complete the following statement: We the jury unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the crime charged in Count Two of the charging instrument against Eli Torres involved \_\_\_\_\_ grams of cocaine.
- c) Do you unanimously agree by proof beyond a reasonable doubt that Eli Torres possessed cocaine with intent to distribute within 1,000 feet of an elementary school?  
☒ Yes. ☐ No.

